

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,465	07/11/2001	Kirk Steven Tecu	10016935-1	9335
7590 05/23/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG	
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2625	

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/903,465	TECU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Houshang Safaipour	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANT AND A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR PER	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	CATION. poly be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	February 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 13-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 13-19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 20-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific part of the specific part	ccepted or b) objected to lee drawing(s) be held in abeyant oction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
dee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at or the certified copies flot	Jeconologia.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/03 & 10/21/05.	Paper No(s	PRIVAE Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date. Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed on February 27, 2006 has been entered and made of record.

Applicant's arguments with regards to claims 1-7 have been considered, but are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with regards to claims 13-19 have been considered but are moot in view of allowance of claims 13-19. Newly added claims 20-24 are analogous to claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 respectively and are therefore rejected.

Claims 8-12 are cancelled.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the prior art by "Kurosawa appears to be limited to transmissive scanning". Examiner agrees with applicant's conclusion. However, independent claim 1 recites that "the optical pattern indicating at <u>least one</u> of reflective scan routine and a transparent scan routine". Therefore, Kurosawa that discloses transmissive (transparent) scanning satisfies one of the two scan routines indicated by claim 1. For this reason, examiner maintains his rejection regarding claims 1-7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,465

Art Unit: 2625

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5-7, 20, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kurosawa et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,714,324).

Regarding claims 1 and 20, Kurosawa et al. discloses a template for a scanner system, the template comprising:

a template body (fig. 4, 201a and 201b) adapted to receive a media object to be scanned, and

an element for generating an optical pattern when scanned in the scanner system, the optical pattern indicating a particular scan routine for scanning the media object (fig. 4, element 206a or 206b).

Regarding claim 2, Kurosawa et al. discloses the template according to claim 1, wherein the template further comprises an insert area for receiving a transparent media therein (fig. 4, insert areas 203a or 203b).

Regarding claims 3 and 21, Kurosawa et al. discloses the template, wherein the element is a pattern printed on the template body (fig. 4, bar codes 206a or 206b).

Regarding claim 5, Kurosawa et al. discloses the template according to claim 1, wherein the element is an aperture on the template body (fig. 1, 204a or 204b)

Application/Control Number: 09/903,465

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claims 6 and 23, Kurosawa et al. discloses the template, wherein the optical pattern is comparable to one or more reference patterns stored in a computer (col. 5, lines 10-33).

Regarding claims 7 and 24, Kurosawa et al. discloses the template, wherein the computer directs the scanner system to execute a scan routine upon determining a match between the optical pattern and one of the reference patterns (controller 140, col. 6, lines 19-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurosawa et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,714,324).

Regarding claims 4 and 22 Kurosawa does not disclose expressly a tab connected to an edge of the template body.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the tab to the edge of the template. Applicant has not disclosed that the tab provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Huang's apparatus to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4 and 22.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-19 are allowed for the reason that the prior art does not teach in claimed combination a reflective scanner, a transparent media adapter and a template to receive a media for scanning with an element for generating an optical pattern when scanned in the scanner system.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (571)272-7412. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Art Unit 2625 May 12, 2006

Page 6